(b) Does not arise.

Renovation of Temples and Heritage Sites in Orissa

2007. MS. PRAMILA BOHIDAR: Will the Minister of CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government propose to protect identity of Orissa State culture by way of renovation of its historical temples and other sites of heritage character; and

(b) if so, the details of such heritage centres that have been renovated and others that would be taken up in a phased manner?

THE MINISTER OF CULTURE (SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY): (a) and (b) Archaeological Survey of India conserved and protects 78 monuments/ sites in Orissa which comprise historical temples and other sites of heritage character. The conservation of protected monuments is a continuous process. The maintenance and repair work of these monuments are being taken up on a regular basis.

Impact of Tsunami on historical monuments

†2008. SHRI T.S. BAJWA:
PROF. R.B.S. VARMA:

Will the Minister of CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some of the historical monuments have lost their existence due to Tsunami and several precious relics have been unearthed;

(b) if so, whether Government are going to construct their replicate at those places;

(c) the number of monuments of historical importance that have been damaged as a result of Tsunami; and

(d) the steps taken by Government for their revival?

THE MINISTER OF CULTURE (SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY): (a) No, Sir. None of the historical monuments protected by the Archaeological Survey of India has lost their existence due to Tsunami and no such relics have been unearthed.

(b) Does not arise.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(c) and (d) There was no damage to any of the monuments as a result of Tsunami. However, the compound wall and fencing of two monuments were partly damaged for which repairs have been taken up.

Conservation programme for monuments and heritage buildings

2009. SHRIMATI PREMA CARIAPPA: Will the Minister of CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Archaeological Survey of India has formulated any conservation programme for the protected monuments and heritage buildings in Karnataka;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) whether any fund has been allocated for structural repairs/chemical conservation of these monuments and the utilisation thereof, monument-wise?

THE MINISTER OF CULTURE (SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY) (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) There are 507 protected monuments of Archaeological Survey of India in Karnataka. Out of these 79 monuments have been taken up for structural repairs in the current financial year. The routine maintenance work is also attended to in all the monuments. For chemical conservation work nine monuments have been taken up.

(c) The details of allocation and expenditure for structural repairs and chemical conservation for the current financial year, monument-wise, are given in the Statement.

Statement

Details of allocation and expenditure for protected monuments in Karnataka

(A) Structural Conservation

Sl. No.	Name of the Monument	Allocation for 2004-2005	Expenditure upto February 2005
1	2	3	4
1.	Tippu Sultan Palace, Bangalore	8,00,000	5,21,138
2.	Old Dungeon, Fort & Gateways, B'lore	10,00,000	4,76,821
3.	Fort, Devanahalli	18,00,000	3,09,577
4.	Kamanabagilu & Moat area, Chitradurga	9,20,000	6,15,246
5.	Fortification 6th Gate, Chitradurga	20,00,000	12,53,551